

Abstract:

In its studies, pragmatics took care of everything that contributes to the success of the process of linguistic use during discourse, in a way that makes the linguistic sign perform its tasks with clear dispatch that helps the recipient to correctly understand the speaker's intent and purpose. There was the dialogical imperative, which is considered one of the most prominent branches of pragmatics, which was and still makes a clear contribution. In the development of pragmatics, Its importance lies in the fact that it constitutes a clear turning point from other types of inferences allowed in the study of many sciences based on truth conditions. The process of communication between speaker and addressee, whether linguistic or non-linguistic, requires many implicit and explicit concepts. Because these meanings may be shared between both parties of the speech, some of them may emerge from the question to the petition. These meanings are not always explicit, but rather there are contextual situations that require not declaring and speaking directly, so they are understood by hinting at them. Which makes this branch fall at the heart of pragmatics, with which linguistic pragmatic research took a distinct turn in describing that interest is no longer focused on developing theories of discourse, but rather began to take care of the process of communication (dialogue) in itself.

The dialogical imperative is not in terms of it being a concept, but rather as a semantic form that emerges from one aspect to another during the discourse. Therefore, I proposed a set of suggestions to describe and investigate it, including the purposes served by the methods, the significance of the concept, the substantive meaning, and the sub-meaning.

The choice of this topic came after it was suggested by my professor and supervisor (Professor Dr. Muayyad Mahdi Faisal), and it was entitled (The Dialogical Commitment in Imam Ali's Letters and Commandments in the Book Nahj al-Balagha.)

The study here relied on the (descriptive-analytical approach), using many sources that touch on the topic and are the focus of its interest. The study was built on three chapters, preceded by a general introduction to the topic, followed by a conclusion that summarized the results reached by the research, then a list of sources and references that

B

helped us in the study and analysis. The introduction dealt with dialogic engagement, its concept, types, characteristics, and nature in the first axis. The second axis covered the principle of cooperation according to Paul Grice and the rules he specified. As for the third axis, it dealt with the relationship of dialogic engagement with the literary text.

The first chapter was titled (The Quantum Rule and its Violations), and was divided into two sections. The first section was titled (The Quantum Rule), while the second section was titled (Violations of the Quantum Rule).

The second chapter was entitled (The Rule of How and its Violations), and it was divided into two sections, the first section entitled (The Rule of How) and the second section entitled (Violations of the Rule of How.)

As for the third chapter, it was entitled (The rule of method or occasion and its violations), and was divided into two sections. The first section was entitled (The rule of method or occasion), and the second section was entitled (Violations of the rule of method or occasion.)

Let us conclude these chapters with a conclusion that includes the most important findings of the research, as well as a list of sources and references that are of the best help to the researcher.

Finally, I am pleased to say that this humble effort is a source of happiness and pride for me, and I also cherish those moments in which everyone stood with me, the supervising professor (Moayad Mahdi Faisal), virtuous, compassionate and follow-up, in addition to giving me complete freedom in my research choices..